

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
08/851,465	05/05/1997	EDGAR C. ROBINSON	INT21246	5986
7590 11/15/2004		EXAMINER		
JOHN RUSSELL UREN			COCKS, JOSIAH C	
STE 202 1590 BELLEVUE AVE			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
WEST VANCO	OUVER, V7V1A7		3749	
CANADA			DATE MAILED: 11/15/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	08/851,465	ROBINSON ET AL.	100			
,, , ,	Examiner	Art Unit	1			
	Josiah Cocks	3749				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 20 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic 1) a timely filed amendment whi al (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	cation. A proper rep ich places the applic	ly to a ation in			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE teen which the petition under 37 CFR 1.7 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. So 136(a) and the appropriate e fee. The appropriate exte the final Office action; or (extension fee ension fee under 2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	ıs.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be-allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: see		sidered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						

Application/Control Number: 08/851,465

Art Unit: 3749

Advisory Action

1. Continuation of item 5.

Applicant submitted an After Final Response on 10/11/2204. In a telephone conversation on 10/20/2004 applicant's representative, John Uren, indicated that a supplemental After Final response was being submitted to correct essentially clerical errors in the 10/11/2004 After Final response. This Advisory Action is responsive to the supplemental After Final response filed 10/20/2004.

Applicant argues that there is not teaching or suggestion in the prior art reference to Reichhelm that only a fuel adjustment device is used. However, the examiner notes that applicant's claims do not require that "only" a fuel adjustment valve be present. As was indicated in the Final Rejection mailed 8/11/2004, Reichhelm clearly discloses a manual liquid fuel adjustment valve (22) that is adjustable during operation of the burner. This fuel adjustment valve desirably allows the fuel flow to be proportioned to produce a desired flame setting (see Reichhelm, col. 6, lines 1-4) and desired characteristics of burner performance (see col. 5, lines 54-57). This manual fuel-proportioning valve (22) is identical in both structure and function to the valve recited in applicant's claims. That Reichhelm also includes an additional air-control valve (34) does not somehow cause applicant's claimed fuel adjusting valve to read over the fuel-adjusting valve (22) disclosed in Reichhelm.

Applicant also argues that the Bennett reference is not a helpful reference. However, as applicant has noted, the Bennett reference is simply cited to show that infrared burners are a recognized category of burner characterized in that flame as directed to contact an incandescent

Art Unit: 3749

surface to radiate heat. These burners are recognized to be desirable because of their cleanliness, efficiency, and ability to minimize flame quenching (see Bennett, col. 1, lines 10-36 and col. 3, lines 15-27). The examiner considers that regardless of how the flame is produced, i.e. using pre-mixed fuel and air or mixing the fuel and air at the burner, a person of ordinary skill in the would consider the structure and function associated with an infrared burner to be desirable for the reasons noted.

Applicant's claims are not considered to recite any structure that is not present in the prior art relied upon by the examiner.

USPTO Contact Information

- 2. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair. Any questions on access to the Private PAIR system should be directed to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).
- 3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Josiah Cocks whose telephone number is (703) 305-0450. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 08/851,465

Art Unit: 3749

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus, can be reached at (703) 308-1935. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

jcc

October 21, 2004

JOSIAH COCKS

PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3749